

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,611	09/15/2003	Shiang-Sheng Cheng	AMI-2608	8496	
7	590 08/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Shiang-Sheng Cheng			BASICHAS, ALFRED		
P.O. Box 90 Tainan City,	704		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN	701		3749		
			DATE MAIL ED. 09/10/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/661,611		CHENG, SHIANG	-SHENG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Alfred Basi		3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL .	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition f	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practic	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the app	4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.						
•							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
· — + · · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The fath of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action of form t	10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu (4,858,591) in view of Barnes (1,375,548). Fu discloses a fume exhaust apparatus for cooking stoves with substantially all of the claimed limitations including, among other things, a fixed base 34, a connection assembly 2,22 pivotally mounted (see at least col. 2, lines 59-61) to the base, a transparent hood 1 (see at least col. 3, lines 16-22) with a through hole 12, a fume exhaust hose 2, an air suction motor 331, an exhaust tube 31, and a switch 342. Fu does not specifically recite an inline filter or an insulated handle.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,611

Art Unit: 37.49

a. As regards the inline filter, Official Notice is given that use of inline filter in air ducts is old and well known in the art. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for removal of impurities such as grease from the exhaust fumes thus avoiding grease buildup and clogging of the exhaust

Page 3

passageways. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of the invention to incorporate an inline filter into the invention

disclosed by Fu, so as to provide for avoiding grease buildup and clogging of the

exhaust passageways.

convenient adjustment of the apparatus.

b. As regards the insulated handle, Barnes teaches a fume exhaust apparatus similar to that disclosed by Fu and including a handle 7. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for easier adjustment of the apparatus. Further, Official Notice is given that insulating a handle is old and well known in the art. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for allowing the user to grasp the handle without the need for oven mittens or the like. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated an insulated handle into the invention disclosed by Fu, so as to provide for easy and

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306 3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0861.

August 18, 2004

Aufred Basichas Primary Examiner 703 306 3476